

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

On Thu, 8 Dec 2022, 19:54 Peter Goodyear, <[petergoodyear54@gmail.com](mailto:petergoodyear54@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Inspectorate Team,

[REDACTED]

You may have gathered from my few words at the end of today's hearing, I wanted the Fordham Walking Group to be consulted on the further work needed on permitted routes as conceded by Sunnica. Consultation both in respect of permissive routes and potential local financial contributions to the counties, including when and where that money might be spent.

I was not persuaded that the financial contribution was the best course of action ... Sunnica's King's Counsel response opting out on permissive routes was stated to be on the basis that they do not currently own or control the land so no permissive routes may be incorporated. So why, I wonder, do they have three potential routes proposed? Also, the same could be said for most of Sunnica's entire project where they do not currently own or control the land.

Mention was made in the closing stages of today's ISH3 that Suffolk CC and Cambridgeshire CC would be consulted and there would presumably be exchanges between, the Inspectorate, Counties and Sunnica. That was my point about requesting that our group should not being excluded from commenting further.

I wanted to put in a marker that Fordham (Cambs) Walking Group would also like to be part of that process given that we have a considerable number of concerns and unanswered questions. I hope that I am right in thinking that was the agreed conclusion.  
Kind regards

Peter Goodyear  
Fordham (Cambs) Walking Group